



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,947	08/27/2001	Keith David Ludwig	BT-001	5176

29956 7590 08/23/2006

TIMOTHY P. O'HAGAN
8710 KILKENNY CT
FORT MYERS, FL 33912

EXAMINER

AKINTOLA, OLABODE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3624

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/939,947	LUDWIG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Olabode Akintola	3624	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 August 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Haseltine et al. (USPN 6578015) (Haseltine).

Re claims 1 and 16: Haseltine teaches a method and corresponding system for providing electronic bill presentment and payment services to a plurality of billing clients and a plurality of paying clients, the method comprising: receiving an invoice file from each of the plurality of billing clients and populating a billing database with data from each invoice file, each invoice file representing amounts payable to the billing client from at least one paying client (col. 4, lines 53-61); receiving an instruction file from a client representing a transaction for at least one of reading and manipulating data in the billing database (col. 6, line 30- col. 7, line 14); performing the transaction utilizing data included in the instruction file (col. 6, line 30- col. 7, line 14); generating response data (col. 5, lines 26-59); and providing a client response document comprising the response data in a specified document format corresponding to the client (col. 5, lines 26-59).

Re claims 2, 3, 6, 10, 15, 17 and 23: Haseltine teaches the step wherein the response data is formatted as an XML message and wherein the client response document is an HTML document (col. 5, lines 26- 36).

Re claims 4, 7, 13, 18 and 20: Haseltine teaches the step wherein the specified document format is defined by a style sheet which includes a plurality of document fields and the step of providing the client response document comprises populating each document field by matching data from the response data to a document field (col. 5, lines 37-58).

Re claims 5, 8, 9, 14, 19, 21 and 22: Haseltine teaches the step wherein the response data comprises a plurality of data fields and a plurality of predetermined tags, each tag identifying one of the plurality of data fields and wherein the step of populating each document field comprises matching the field to a tag identify data for inclusion within the document field (col. 4, lines 57-60; col. 5, lines 45-49).

Re claim 11: Haseltine teaches the step wherein the presentation server further receives transaction request files from each of the biller clients and payor clients and generates the instruction file in response thereto (col. 6, lines 6-54).

Re claims 12: Haseltine teaches the step wherein the data response file comprises an XML message, wherein the presentation server utilizes the content of the XML response message to

build the client document, and wherein the instruction file is an XML remote processing call (col. 5, lines 26-36).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- (a) US Patent 6,032,132 to Nelson (see at least col.2, line 11- col.8, line 19).
- (b) US Publication 2001/0051919 A1 to Mason (see at least abstract and paragraph 0022).
- (c) US Publication 2002/0082990 A1 to Jones (see at least abstract and paragraphs 0030, 0036).
- (d) US Publication 2002/0184123 A1 to Sijacic et al. (see at least abstract and paragraph 0097).

All disclose "an electronic bill presentment and payment system".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olabode Akintola whose telephone number is 571-272-3629. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM -5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 571-272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OA



VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600